

## NOTES ON GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION

### Petromyzontidae, *Entosphenus tridentatus*: Southern distribution record, Isla Clarión, Revillagigedo Archipelago, Mexico.

Claude B. Renaud

Research Services Division, Canadian Museum of Nature.  
P.O. Box 3443, Station D, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1P 6P4. E-mail: crenaud@mus-nature.ca

The purpose of this note is to document the southernmost record of Pacific lamprey, *Entosphenus tridentatus*, off the coast of Mexico. The scientific name of this species is in a state of flux. The American Fisheries Society names list (Nelson et al. 2004) gives *Lampetra tridentata*; however, Nelson (2006) subsequently accepted *Entosphenus tridentatus* based on the phylogenetic study of Gill et al. (2003), which was not available to the AFS Names Committee in time for it to make an evaluation prior to publication. The AFS Names Committee is currently evaluating this new evidence for its next list scheduled for publication in 2010 (J. S. Nelson, personal communication, 2007).

There are relatively few reported occurrences of Pacific lamprey south of Point Conception ( $34^{\circ}26'55''$  N,  $120^{\circ}28'14''$  W) in southern California. Swift et al. (1993) and Chase (2001) reported the presence of Pacific lamprey in only a few southern Californian watercourses, namely: Ventura River, Santa Clara River and its tributary Sespe Creek, and Malibu Creek, with the southernmost being the Santa Ana River to the south of Los Angeles (about  $33^{\circ}44'27''$  N,  $117^{\circ}52'53''$  W). Miller et al. (2005) gave only three records of this species occurring in Mexico; a 170 mm TL recently metamorphosed male reported by Hubbs (1967) from marine waters off Baja California, 55 km southwest of Punta Canoas ( $28^{\circ}58'36''$  N,  $115^{\circ}25'36''$  W), a 126.5 mm TL ammocoete reported by Ruiz-Campos and González-Guzmán (1996) from the lower Río Santo Domingo, 600 m upstream from its confluence with the Pacific Ocean ( $30^{\circ}43'$  N,  $116^{\circ}02'$  W), and a 92.5 mm TL ammocoete reported by Ruiz-Campos et al. (2000) from Arroyo San Antonio, about 45 km upstream from the mouth of Río Santo Domingo ( $30^{\circ}49'09''$  N,  $115^{\circ}37'45''$  W).

A hitherto forgotten specimen collected in 1889 by the United States Fish Commission Steamer *Albatross* (USNM 160613, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.), extends the southern range of this species by more than  $10^{\circ}$  latitude to Clarion Island, Revillagigedo Islands, Mexico. Revillagigedo Archipelago is about 386 km southwest of Cabo San Lucas, the southern tip of Baja California Peninsula. It consists of four volcanic islands, Clarion being the westernmost, about 700 km off the mainland. I believe that there are three reasons this record remained unreported for so long. Firstly, it was mistakenly catalogued as coming from Clarion Island, Revillagigedo Island, Alaska. While a Revillagigedo Island (part of the Alexander Archipelago consisting of about 1,100 islands in the Alaskan panhandle) also occurs in Alaska, Clarion Island does not. The association of the two names in the locality field strongly suggests that the record comes from Mexico. Secondly, the preceding USNM catalog number, 160612, is also a Pacific lamprey from the Albatross collection, but it is apparently a bona fide Alaskan record from Port Etches, Prince William Sound, and possibly the cataloguer assumed Alaska when cataloguing the next lot. No Albatross station number was given in the catalog but in a letter to me dated 28 October 1987, Susan L. Jewett, then Co-Collections Manager, Division of Fishes, stated that the catalog provided the date March 1889 and that the only Albatross stations associated with Revillagigedo Islands during that month were stations 2991 to 2995 inclusively, made on 6 March 1889 in Mexican waters. It is important to add that during the entire month of March 1889, the Albatross was operating in waters south of  $32^{\circ}$  N (Townsend 1901) and is another indication that the record reported on here could not have been made in Alaskan waters. Thirdly, following my visit to the Smithsonian in April

## NOTES ON GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION

1983 when I made this discovery, I waited 25 years for an appropriate venue for publication. Townsend (1901) provides additional collection data related to those stations. Although it would be tempting to select the station that is closest to Isla Clarión, one needs to be more conservative and compile the information for all five stations. The geographical coordinates vary between latitudes  $18^{\circ}17'15''$  N and  $18^{\circ}19'00''$  N and longitudes  $114^{\circ}43'15''$  W and  $116^{\circ}44'15''$  W. The instrument of capture was either a large beam trawl or a ship dredge. The surface water temperature was  $22.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  and the bottom water temperature varied between 5.4 and  $20.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The depth either trawled or dredged varied between 57 and 841 meters. However, because these nets were open, it is possible that the specimen was collected anywhere in the water column from those maximum depths up to the surface.

In order to establish identity and because a southerly marine occurrence such as this is so rare, a description of the specimen using the methodology of Vladkyov and Follett (1958) follows: prespawning female, 420.0 mm TL; disc length, 30.0 mm; prebranchial length, 55.5 mm; branchial length, 47.5 mm; trunk length, 201.0 mm; cloacal slit length, 4.0 mm; tail length, 112.0 mm; eye diameter, 6.0 mm; urogenital papilla length, 2.0 mm; intestinal width taken at the level of the origin of the first dorsal fin, 2.0 mm. The edges of the two dorsal fins finely serrated. Interdorsal distance, 9.0 mm; first dorsal fin height, 19.5 mm (fleshy base included), 13.5 mm (fleshy base excluded); second dorsal fin height, 28.0 mm (fleshy base included), 23.0 mm (fleshy base excluded). Well-developed pre- and post-cloacal fin-like folds. Trunk myomeres, 78. Supraoral lamina: 3 cusps; infraoral lamina, 5 cusps; endolateral formula, 2-3-3-2 (on both sides); first anteriel row, 4 unicuspids teeth; total number of anterials, 7 unicuspids teeth; first and only posteriel row, 18 teeth (arrangement: 2 bicuspid, 15 unicuspids, 1 bicuspid); transverse lingual lamina, 16 cusps (arrangement: 7 lateral cusps on the left, 1 slightly enlarged median cusp relative to the lateral cusps, 8 lateral cusps on the right); longitudinal lingual laminae, too worn to give an accurate count; 54 marginals. The buccal epithelium is orangish-brown except for the outermost marginal row area which is light brown.

This differential pigmentation points to a natural cause rather than being due to a prolonged period in a preservative solution, where one would expect a uniform discoloration, if any, to occur.

The high count of trunk myomeres recorded (78) warrants comment. Hubbs (1967) gave a count of 68 for his metamorphosed specimen from off Baja California while Ruiz-Campos and González-Guzmán (1996) gave a count of 67 for their ammocoete from Baja California. However, Hubbs (1924) gave counts of 67-76 for a sample of ammocoetes of Pacific lamprey from the considerably further north Coyote Creek, San Jose, California. Note, however, that these counts were based on over 100 extremely small individuals, 9-21 mm TL, a testimony to Carl L. Hubbs' extraordinary skill and diligence, and also, at the time, he was counting myomeres up to the end of the cloacal slit contrary to the others above, including Hubbs (1967), who made their counts only till the beginning of the cloacal slit. Notwithstanding this veritable tour de force and the slight change in method of counting, it would appear that wide variation is to be expected for such a wide-ranging and perhaps non-homing anadromous species. The darkly pigmented buccal epithelium may be evidence of relatively recent feeding activity, noting nonetheless that the 2.0 mm intestinal width has undoubtedly considerably shrunken in size since the onset of sexual maturation. Vladkyov and Kott (1979) indicated that in actively feeding *E. tridentatus* the buccal epithelium was yellow-brown which they suggested may be due to its saturation by fats from the prey. The specimen is a prespawning individual according to the terminology of Vladkyov and Follett (1958). While it possesses a number of secondary sexual characters (reduced intestinal width, finely serrated dorsal fin edges, well-developed pre- and post-cloacal fin-like folds, externally visible urogenital papilla), its dorsal fins are still far apart (9.0 mm), and it may therefore be a month or more before it would have been ready to spawn. Despite having been collected about 700 km away from the mainland, it is not unreasonable to believe that this 420 mm individual could have covered this distance prior to spawning either by swimming or attaching to a fish or marine mammal. Any further speculation would seem unwarranted based on the little information available.

## NOTES ON GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION

The anadromous Pacific lamprey now has the greatest recorded latitudinal range ( $> 50^\circ$ ) of any lamprey of the world, extending from the Chukchi Sea, off Cape Lisburne, Alaska ( $69^\circ 15' N, 165^\circ 55' W$ ; Mecklenburg et al. 2002) and Norton Sound, in the Bering Sea, 11.2 km southwest of Nome, Alaska ( $64^\circ 30' N, 165^\circ 25' W$ ; Vogt 1988) down to Isla Clarión, Mexico (about  $18^\circ 18' N, 115^\circ 01' W$ , based on the average of the coordinates for the five Albatross stations; this study). The southern latitude at  $18^\circ 18' N$  is even lower than records for the Mexican freshwater endemic lampreys *Tetrapleurodon spadiceus* and *T. geminis*, which are restricted to highland habitat ( $> 1,500$  m

elevation) at about  $20^\circ N$  (Miller et al. 2005) and, until now held the distinction of being the Northern Hemisphere lamprey species with the most southerly distribution. We know very little about the behavior of Pacific lamprey while in the ocean, since very few such studies (e.g. Beamish 1980) have been conducted. It is important to continue to document these marine occurrences, especially those at the edges of the range, like this one, as they may reflect a continuous distribution, rather than cases of vagrancy. It is difficult to evaluate at this time whether the record documented here falls in the former or latter category.

### Acknowledgements

I thank Susan L. Jewett, former Co-Collections Manager, now Research Associate in Fishes, NMNH, for providing further information on the collection data for this record, as well as Camm C. Swift, Emeritus Researcher and Robert (Bob) N. Lea, Research Associate, both at the Section of Fishes, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, for encouraging me to document this record. I also thank Stewart Reid, Western Fishes – Lamprey Program and an anonymous reviewer for their valuable suggestions.

### Literature cited

- Beamish, R. J. 1980. Adult biology of the river lamprey (*Lampetra ayresi*) and the Pacific lamprey (*Lampetra tridentata*) from the Pacific coast of Canada. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 37(11): 1906-1923.
- Chase, S. D. 2001. Contributions to the life history of adult Pacific lamprey (*Lampetra tridentata*) in the Santa Clara River of southern California. Bulletin Southern California Academy of Sciences 100(2): 74-85.
- Gill, H. S., C. B. Renaud, F. Chapleau, R. L. Mayden, and I. C. Potter. 2003. Phylogeny of living parasitic lampreys (Petromyzontiformes) based on morphological data. Copeia 2003(4): 687-703.
- Hubbs, C. L. 1924. The life-cycle and growth of lampreys. Papers of the Michigan Academy of Science, Arts and Letters 4: 587-603.
- Hubbs, C. L. 1967. Occurrence of the Pacific lamprey, *Entosphenus tridentatus*, off Baja California and in streams of southern California; with remarks on its nomenclature. Transactions of the San Diego Society of Natural History 14(21): 301-312.
- Mecklenburg, C. W., T. A. Mecklenburg, and L. K. Thorsteinson. 2002. Fishes of Alaska. Bethesda, American Fisheries Society, xxxvii + 1037 p.
- Miller, R. R., W. L. Minkley, and S. M. Norris. 2005. Freshwater Fishes of Mexico. Chicago, The University of Chicago Press, xxv + 490 p.
- Nelson, J. S. 2006. Fishes of the world, 4<sup>th</sup> edition. Hoboken, John Wiley and Sons, xix + 624 p.
- Nelson, J. S., E. J. Crossman, H. Espinosa-Pérez, L. T. Findley, C. R. Gilbert, R. N. Lea, and J. D. Williams. 2004. Common and scientific names of fishes from the United States, Canada, and Mexico, 6<sup>th</sup> edition. Bethesda, American Fisheries Society Special Publication 29, ix + 386 p.
- Ruiz-Campos, G., S. Contreras-Balderas, M. de L. Lozano-Vilano, S. González-Guzmán, and J. Alaníz-García. 2000. Ecological and distributional status of the continental fishes of northwestern Baja California, Mexico. Bulletin Southern California Academy of Sciences 99(2): 59-90.
- Ruiz-Campos G., and S. González-Guzmán. 1996. First freshwater record of Pacific lamprey, *Lampetra tridentata*, from Baja California, Mexico. California Fish and Game 82: 144-146.
- Swift, C. C., T. R. Haglund, M. Ruiz, and R. N. Fisher. 1993. The status and distribution of the freshwater fishes of southern California. Bulletin Southern California Academy of Sciences 92(3): 101-167.

## NOTES ON GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION

Townsend, C. H. 1901. Dredging and other records of the United States Fish Commission Steamer Albatross, with bibliography relative to the work of the vessel; p. 387-562 In Part 26, U. S. Commission of Fish and Fisheries, Report of the Commissioner for the year ending June 30, 1900.

Vladykov, V. D. and W. I. Follett. 1958. Redescription of *Lampetra ayresii* (Günther) of western North America, a species of lamprey (Petromyzontidae) distinct from *Lampetra fluviatilis* (Linnaeus) of Europe. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 15(1): 47-77.

Vladykov, V. D. and E. Kott. 1979. A new parasitic species of the Holarctic lamprey genus *Entosphenus* Gill, 1862 (Petromyzonidae) from Klamath River, in California and Oregon. Canadian Journal of Zoology 57(4): 808-823.

Vogt, K. D. 1988. The occurrence of *Lampetra tridentatus* in the northern Bering Sea. Japanese Journal of Ichthyology 35(3): 403-404.

Received December 2007

Accepted March 2008

Published online March 2008